BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04867 8908

PATENTS AND TRADE SECRETS

Spring 2000

VOLUME TWO

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe
and Mr. Brad Limpert

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FOR THE CLASSROOM USE OF
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
STUDENTS ONLY

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
JAN 21 2000
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PATENTS AND TRADE SECRETS

Spring 2000

VOLUME TWO

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe
And Mr. Brad Limpert

V. ARTICULATING THE INVENTION

A. THE PATENT SPECIFICATION

1. Basic Nature of the Specification

Mac Odrum, "The Basic Nature and Requirements" 1
British United Shoe Machinery v. A. Fussell 2
Minerals Separation North American v. Noranda Mines 5
Consolboard v. MacMillan Bloedel 10

2. Sufficiency of Disclosure

Mac Odrum, "Description of Invention and Its Operation and Use" 16
Osram Lamp Works v. Pope's Electric Lamp Company 16
Sandoz Patents v. Gilcross 21

3. Claims

Dableh v. Ontario Hydro 26

4. Drafting a Claim

Scott, "Patent Claims" (1998) 29
Perry, "Basic Claim Drafting for US Patent Applications" 46

B. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PATENT

1. General Principles of Construction

Mac Odrum, " " 59
Nobel's Explosives v. Anderson 60
Western Electric v. Baldwin 62
Burton Parsons v. Hewlett-Packard 64

2. Relevent Date for Construction of the Patent

AT & T Technologies v. Mitel 69
Allied Signal 71

3. The Relevant Addressee

Mac Odrum, " " 72
Procter & Gamble v. Kimberley Clark 72
Almecon Industries v. Nutron Mftrg. 73

4. The State of the Art

Mac Odrum, " " 73

5. By Reference to the Disclosure

Beecham Canada v. Procter & Gamble 74
Nekoosa Packaging v. United Dominion 77

6. Purposive Construction

Mac Odrum, " " 81

C. THE PATENT APPLICATION

1. Before the Application

Mac Odrum, "Application for a Patent: Summary of Pre-Patent Application Steps" 84

2. Timing

Mac Odrum," 85

3. Novelty and Obviousness Assessment

Mac Odrum, " " 86

4. Filing and Prosecution

Mac Odrum, "Filing and Prosecution of a Canadian Patent Application -- New Act" . . . 87
Mac Odrum, "Filing and Prosecution of a Canadian Patent Application -- Old Act" 89

5. Priority Claims 90

Mac Odrum, "Priority Claims under the Old Act" 91
Mac Odrum, "Priority Claims under the New Act" 92
Electric & Musical Industries v. Lissen 93
Biogen v. Medeva 96
Mac Odrum, "Comments" 100

D. REISSUE, DISCLAIMER, CORRECTION

Burton Parsons Chemicals v. Hewlett Packard 105

VI. VALIDITY

A. PRESUMPTION OF VALIDITY

Diversified Products v. Tye-Sil Corp 107
Aharonian, "Is the US Patent System a Registration System?" 109

B. GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY

1. General

Mac Odrum, "Grounds of Invalidity" 113

2. Inventorship

Mac Odrum, "Improper Inventorship" 115
Procter & Gamble v. Bristol Myers 115

3. Amendments that Invalidate

Mac Odrum, "Improper Amendments" 116
Procter & Gamble v. Bristol Myers 118

4. Fraud

Mac Odrum, "Fraud on the Patent Office" 119
Control Data Canada v. Senstar 119

5. Material Misstatements

Mac Odrum, ... 120
Beloit v. Valmet 122

6. Patent Misuse

Mac Odrum, " " 124

VII. INFRINGEMENT

A. THE SUBJECT MATTER OR SUBSTANCE OF INFRINGEMENT

Wepner, "The Patent Invalidity/Infringement Parallel" 126

1. General

Mac Odrum, "The Subject Matter Aspect of Infringement" 141

2. Literal Infringement

Riello Canada v. Lambert 142

3. Infringement in Substance

Mac Odrum, "Infringment in Substance" 144
Nobels Explosives v. Anderson 145
Marconi v. British Radio 145
Mc Phar Engineering v Sharpe Instruments 147
Catnic Components v. Hill & Smith 157
Eli Lilly v. O' Hara Manufacturing 161
Improver Corp. v. Remington Consumer Products 166
Improver Corp. v. Raymond 177
Procter & Gamble v. Kimberley Clark 178
Some Published Commentary 178

4. The American Doctrine of Equivalents

Hilton Davis Chemical Co. v. Warner-Jenkinson 180

B. THE ACTIVITY ASPECT OF INFRINGEMENT

1. The Statutory Grant

Mac Odrum, " " 192

2. Infringing Acts in General

Mac Odrum, " " 193

3. Non-Infringement Defences

Mac Odrum, " " 193

4. Infringement Must Occur in Canada

The Basle Chemical Works v. Bindschedler 193
Domco Industries v. Mannington Mills 195
Beloit v. Valmet 201

5. Sale or Use of a Product Made Using a Patented Invention

American Cyanamid v. Charles E. Frosst 205
Beecham Group Limited v. Bristol Laboratories 206
Wellcome Fdtn. v. Apotex 210

6. Contributory Infringement

American Arch v. Canuck Supply 212
Slater Steel v. Payer 212
Procter & Gamble v. Bristol Myer 221
Windsurfing v. Trilantic 222

C. DEFENCES AND ALLOWABLE USES

1. Experimental and Developmental Use

Frearson v. Loe 227
Micro Chemicals v. Smith Kline 228

2. Use Prior to the Grant

Barber v. Goldie 233
Libbey-Owens-Ford Glass v. Ford Motor Co. 233

3. Repair

Howell, Vincent & Manson, "Intellectual Property Law: Cases and Materials" (1999) . 239

4. Stockpiling and Product Approval

Howell, Vincent & Manson, "Intellectual Property Law: Cases and Materials" (1999) . 240

IX. REMEDIES

A. GENERAL

Casson, "Awards Made by the Courts on a Finding of Infringement
(1996) 242

B. INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTIONS

American Cyanamid v. Ethicon 247
Plastichange Intl. v. 2946-9004 Quebec Inc. 256

C. ACCOUNTING FOR PROFITS

Reading & Bates Construction v. Baker Energy Resources 259
Dart Industries v. Decor Corporation 264
Lubrizol Corp v. Imperial Oil 268

D. EMERGING ALTERNATIVES

Elleman, "Problems in Patent Litigation: Mandatory Mediation"(1997) 275

(Optional):

Arnold, "Advocacy in Mediation" (1998)
Arnold, "Booby Traps in Arbitration" (1998)

X. LICENSING -- BEST PRACTICES

Howell, Vincent & Manson, "Commercialization of Inventions" (1999) 295